

SCHEDULE 14A
(RULE 14A-101)
INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(A) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (AMENDMENT NO.)

Filed by the registrant /X/
Filed by a party other than the registrant / /
Check the appropriate box:
/ / Preliminary proxy statement
/X/ Definitive proxy statement
/ / Definitive additional materials
/ / Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-11(c) or Rule 14a-12

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement)

Payment of filing fee (Check the appropriate box):

/X/ \$125 per Exchange Act Rule 0-11(c) (1) (ii), 14a-6(i) (1), or 14a-6(j) (2).
/ / \$500 per each party to the controversy pursuant to Exchange Act Rule
14a-6(i) (3).
/ / Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i) (4) and
0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transactions applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed
pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11:1

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

/ / Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act
Rule 0-11(a) (2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid
previously. Identify the previous filing by registrations statement number, or
the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, schedule or registration statement no.:

(3) Filing party:

(4) Date filed:

(1) Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state
how it was determined.

CON EDISON (LOGO)

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place, New York, N.Y. 10003

EUGENE R. MCGRATH
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

April 4, 1994

Dear Stockholder:

I hope you will join the Board of Trustees and management of your Company at The Paramount, Madison Square Garden Center, Seventh Avenue between 31st and 33rd Streets, New York City for the annual meeting on Monday, May 16, 1994 at 1:30 p.m.

Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, please sign and return the enclosed proxy. It is very important that as many shares as possible be represented at the meeting.

If after signing and returning your proxy you come to the meeting, you may vote in person even though you have previously sent in a proxy.

Sincerely,
/s/ Eugene R. McGrath
Eugene R. McGrath

3

CON EDISON (LOGO)

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place, New York, N.Y. 10003

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Dear Stockholder:

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. will be held at The Paramount, Madison Square Garden Center, Seventh Avenue between 31st and 33rd Streets, New York, New York, on Monday, May 16, 1994 at 1:30 P.M., E.D.S.T. for the following purposes:

- a. To elect thirteen members of the Board of Trustees;
- b. To ratify and approve the appointment of Price Waterhouse as independent accountants for the year 1994; and
- c. To act upon such other matters, including two stockholder proposals set forth in the Proxy Statement (attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference), as may properly come before the meeting, or any adjournment thereof.

You are cordially invited to attend this meeting. IF YOU PLAN TO ATTEND, please mark the appropriate box on the enclosed proxy card and we will send you an admission ticket.

WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON, WE URGE YOU TO VOTE, SIGN AND DATE THE ENCLOSED PROXY AND RETURN IT PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE. WE WILL SINCERELY APPRECIATE YOUR DOING SO.

By Order of the Board of Trustees,

ARCHIE M. BANKSTON
Secretary

Dated: April 4, 1994

4

PROXY STATEMENT

SOLICITATION OF PROXIES

This proxy statement and the accompanying proxy card are furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Trustees of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (the "Company" or "Con Edison"),

which has its principal executive offices at 4 Irving Place, New York, New York 10003, for use at the 1994 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on Monday, May 16, 1994. This proxy statement and the enclosed form of proxy are being mailed to stockholders on or about April 4, 1994.

This solicitation of proxies for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders is being made by the management on behalf of the Board of Trustees of the Company and will be made by mail and by telephone or telegraph. The expense thereof will be borne by the Company. The expense will include reimbursement for postage and clerical expenses to brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees or fiduciaries for forwarding proxy material and other documents to beneficial owners of stock held in their names. In addition, Morrow & Co. of New York, New York, has been retained to assist in the solicitation of proxies by the means described above. The estimated cost of Morrow's services is \$17,000, plus out-of-pocket expenses.

RECORD DATE, OUTSTANDING
VOTING SECURITIES AND VOTING RIGHTS

Only the holders of record of \$5 Cumulative Preferred Stock or of Common Stock as of the close of business on March 29, 1994 (the "record date") are entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting or any adjournment thereof. On the record date, there were outstanding 1,915,319 shares of \$5 Cumulative Preferred Stock and 234,843,154 shares of Common Stock, each entitled to one vote per share upon all propositions to be presented at the meeting.

Copies of the 1993 Annual Report are being mailed to all persons who as of the record date were holders of record of any shares of any class of stock of the Company.

The enclosed proxy card is for the number of shares registered in your name with the Company together with any additional full shares held in your name in Con Edison's "Automatic Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Payment Plan". The instructions on the proxy card provide that any shares registered in your name and any full shares held for your account in the Plan will be voted in the same manner.

1

5

In all matters other than the election of Trustees, the affirmative vote of the majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the annual meeting, entitled to vote and voting on the subject matter shall be the act of the stockholders. Abstentions and broker non-votes are voted neither "for" nor "against", and have no effect on the vote, but are counted in the determination of a quorum. Trustees will be elected by a plurality of the votes present in person or represented by proxy at the annual meeting, entitled to vote and voting on the election of Trustees.

EXECUTION AND REVOCATION OF PROXY

Shares represented by proxies properly signed and returned will be voted at the meeting. Each proxy will be exercised in accordance with the stockholder's specifications thereon. If the proxy is signed but no specification is made, the shares represented by the proxy will be voted for the election of Trustees and in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Trustees on other proposals.

A stockholder who gives a proxy may revoke it at any time before the proxy is voted at the meeting. The proxy is revocable by a written instrument signed in the same manner as the proxy and received by the Secretary of the Company at or before the annual meeting. Also, a stockholder who attends the meeting in person may vote by ballot at the meeting, thereby cancelling any proxy previously given.

ATTENDANCE AND PROCEDURES AT ANNUAL MEETING

Attendance at the annual meeting will be limited to stockholders of record, beneficial owners of Con Edison stock entitled to vote at the meeting having evidence of ownership, the authorized representative (one only) of an absent stockholder, and invited guests of the management. Any person claiming to be an authorized representative of a stockholder must, upon request, produce written evidence of such authorization. In order to assure the holding of a fair and orderly meeting and to accommodate as many stockholders as possible who may wish

to speak at the meeting, management will limit the General Discussion portion of the meeting to one hour and permit only stockholders or their authorized representatives to address the meeting. In addition, management will require all signs, banners, placards and protest-type materials to be left outside the meeting room.

2

6

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED AT THE ANNUAL MEETING

ELECTION OF TRUSTEES

Thirteen Trustees are to be elected at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to hold office until the next annual meeting and until their respective successors shall have been elected and qualified. Of the thirteen Board members standing for election, two (the Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer--Eugene R. McGrath and the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer--Raymond J. McCann) are officers of the Company. The current non-officer nominee Trustees (who include a former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and another former officer) bring to the Company the benefit of their broad expertise and experience in many diverse fields. Since the last annual meeting, the number of Trustees constituting the entire Board of Trustees was reduced from fourteen to thirteen by amendment to Section 8 of the By-laws, effective May 31, 1993, the date of the resignation of Mr. Victor Marrero as a member of the Board of Trustees. Following four years of outstanding service to the Company, Mr. Marrero was appointed by President Clinton to serve as the United States Representative to the United Nations Economic and Social Council. All of the nominees were elected Trustees at the last annual meeting. The Company's management believes that all of the nominees will be able and willing to serve as Trustees of the Company.

Shares represented by every properly signed proxy will be voted at the annual meeting for the election as Trustees of the persons nominated by management, except where the right to vote such shares is withheld as provided in the proxy or otherwise instructed. If one or more of such nominees is unable or unwilling to serve, the shares represented by the proxies will be voted for the other nominees and for any substitute nominee or nominees as shall be designated by management.

INFORMATION ABOUT NOMINEES

The name and age of each of the nominees, the year in which each was first elected a Trustee of the Company, the principal occupation and business experience of each during the past five years, the number of shares of Common Stock of the Company beneficially owned by each, as of the close of business on January 31, 1994, their directorships in other publicly-held business corporations and the more significant of their directorships in charitable and educational organizations as of that date are set forth below, based on information provided by the nominees.

3

7

NAME, AGE, YEAR IN WHICH FIRST ELECTED TRUSTEE AND PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS EXPERIENCE DURING PAST 5 YEARS

(PHOTO) E. VIRGIL CONWAY, 64--1970

Financial Consultant and Corporate Director. Mr. Conway is Chairman of the Financial Accounting Standards Advisory Committee of the Financial Accounting Standards Board. Retired Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, The Seamen's Bank for Savings, FSB, New York, N.Y. Mr. Conway was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Seamen's Bank from 1969 through January 1989. He was Vice Chairman, Seamen's Corporation, New York, N.Y. from February 1986 to April 1989. Director or Trustee, Atlantic Mutual Insurance Company, Centennial Insurance Company, HRE Properties, certain mutual funds managed by Phoenix Home Life, Union Pacific Corporation, Pace University and Chairman, Audit Committee of The City of New York and the

New York Housing Partnership Development Corporation.

Shares owned: 12,120

(PHOTO)

ARTHUR HAUSPURG, 68--1975

Former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Mr. Hauspurg was Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer from September 1982 through August 1990. He was President from 1975 through January 1989. Director or Trustee, COMSAT Corporation, Prudential Government Securities Trust, Prudential High Yield Fund, Inc., Prudential National Municipals Fund, Inc., Prudential Growth Opportunity Fund, Inc. and Prudential Tax-Free Money Fund, Inc.; Member, National Academy of Engineering.

Shares owned: 17,468

(PHOTO)

MYLES V. WHALEN, JR., 63--1977

Retired. Former Partner, Shearman & Sterling, Attorneys at Law, New York, N.Y. from 1962 to 1968 and from 1970 until March 1987. He was Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of the Company from 1968 to 1970.

Shares owned: 1,092

4

8

NAME, AGE, YEAR IN WHICH FIRST ELECTED TRUSTEE
AND PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS
EXPERIENCE DURING PAST 5 YEARS

(PHOTO)

DONALD K. ROSS, 68--1977

Director and former Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, New York Life Insurance Company, New York, N.Y. Director or Trustee, Mackay-Shields Financial Corporation, The Mainstay Funds and The Colonial Williamsburg Foundation.

Shares owned: 800

(PHOTO)

FREDERICK P. ROSE, 70--1978

Chairman, Rose Associates, Inc., New York, N.Y. (Real estate investment). Director or Trustee, Olympia and York Realty Corporation, Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Inc. (Vice-Chairman), The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Rockefeller University and Yale University.

Shares owned: 28,712

(PHOTO)

PETER W. LIKINS, 57--1978

President, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pa. Director or Trustee, COMSAT Corporation, Parker-Hannifin Corporation and Safeguard Scientifics, Inc.; Member, National Academy of Engineering and Governor's Economic Development Partnership Board.

Shares owned: 1,706

(PHOTO)

RUTH M. DAVIS, 65--1981

President and Chief Executive Officer, The Pymatuning Group, Inc., Alexandria, Va. (Technology management consultants). Director or Trustee, Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., Ceridian Corporation, Premark International, Inc., Principal Mutual Life Insurance Company, Sprint Corp., Varian Associates and The Aerospace Corporation (Chairman). Member or Trustee, American

Academy of Arts and Sciences, National Academy of Engineering and University of Pennsylvania School of Engineering and Applied Science.

Shares owned: 2,667

5

9

NAME, AGE, YEAR IN WHICH FIRST ELECTED TRUSTEE
AND PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS
EXPERIENCE DURING PAST 5 YEARS

(PHOTO) RAYMOND J. MCCANN, 59--1987

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company since February 1, 1989. Mr. McCann was Executive Vice President--Division Operations from 1980 through September 1987 and Executive Vice President--Finance and Law from October 1987 through January 1989. Director or Member, Nuclear Mutual Ltd., Nuclear Electric Insurance Ltd., Public Utilities Reports, Inc., Citizens Budget Commission, Inc. and Harlem Youth Development Foundation.

Shares owned: 5,393

(PHOTO) EUGENE R. MCGRATH, 52--1987

Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since September 1, 1990. He was elected President and Chief Operating Officer on February 1, 1989, served as Executive Vice President--Operations from October 1987 through January 1989 and as Executive Vice President--Central Operations from September 1982 through September 1987. Mr. McGrath is Chairman of the Business Council of New York State, Inc., and Director or Trustee, New York City Partnership, American Museum of Natural History, Barnard College, National Action Council for Minorities in Engineering, Inc., Edison Electric Institute, American Gas Association, American Women's Economic Development Corporation, NYZS/The Wildlife Conservation Society and the United Way of New York City. Member, National Academy of Engineering.

Shares owned: 11,333

(PHOTO) GORDON J. DAVIS, 52--1989

Partner, Lord Day & Lord, Barrett Smith, Attorneys at Law, New York, N.Y. Director or Trustee, Phoenix Home Life Mutual Insurance Company, Dance Theater of Harlem, Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Inc. and New York Public Library.

Shares owned: 789

6

10

NAME, AGE, YEAR IN WHICH FIRST ELECTED TRUSTEE
AND PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS
EXPERIENCE DURING PAST 5 YEARS

(PHOTO) ELLEN V. FUTTER, 44--1989

President, American Museum of Natural History, New York, N.Y. since November, 1993. Prior thereto, Ms. Futter had been President of Barnard College since 1980. Director, Trustee or Member, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, CBS Inc., American Museum of Natural History, Barnard College, Committee for Economic Development, Council on Foreign Relations and New York City Partnership.

Shares owned: 864

(PHOTO)

ROBERT G. SCHWARTZ, 66--1989

Director and former Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, New York, N.Y. Mr. Schwartz previously served as Chairman of the Board of Metropolitan Life from 1983, and President and Chief Executive Officer from 1989 to April 1, 1993. Director or Trustee, CS First Boston, Inc., COMSAT Corporation, Long Star Industries, Inc., Lowe's Companies, Inc., Mobil Corporation, Potlatch Corporation, Reader's Digest Association, Inc. and Smeal College of Business Administration at Penn State University (Board of Visitors).

Shares owned: 2,000

(PHOTO)

RICHARD A. VOELL, 60--1990

President and Chief Executive Officer, The Rockefeller Group, New York, N.Y. (Real estate, real estate services and communications and communications services). Director or Trustee, Club Med, Inc., Lehndorff, U.S.A. Group of Companies; Board member, Municipal Art Society, Member, Council on Foreign Relations and International Advisory Board of Fiat, Chairman, NYZS/The Wildlife Conservation Society Nominating Committee, Business Council for the United Nations and New York City Partnership Public Issues Committee. Past Chairman, Economic Club of New York.

Shares owned: 1,295

A complete list of directorships of nominees in charitable and educational organizations is available to any stockholder who requests one from the Secretary of the Company. The number of shares of Common Stock of the Company beneficially owned as of January 31, 1994, by each of the executive officers named in the compensation table on page 17 who are not also nominees are set forth below.

NAME	SHARES OWNED
Charles F. Soutar.....	3,645
J. Michael Evans.....	457
T. Bowring Woodbury.....	108 (*)

As of January 31, 1994, no nominee or officer was the beneficial owner of any other class of equity securities of the Company or beneficially owned more than .013 percent of the total outstanding Common Stock of the Company. As of the same date all officers and Trustees as a group beneficially owned 228,501 shares (0.097 percent) of the outstanding Common Stock of the Company. Each officer and Trustee held his or her shares with sole voting power and sole investment power, except for shares as to which voting power, or investment power, or both, were shared with a spouse or a relative of such person.

To the best knowledge of the management of the Company, no person owned beneficially as of January 31, 1994 more than 5 percent of any class of voting securities of the Company.

Based upon its review of the reports furnished to the Company for 1993 pursuant to Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company believes all of the reports were filed on a timely basis.

(*) As of March 31, 1994.

TRUSTEES' FEES AND ATTENDANCE

Those Trustees who are not employees of the Company are paid an annual retainer of \$20,000 and a fee of \$1,000 for each meeting of the Board or a Committee of the Board attended, except that if the Committee meeting is held on the same day as the Board meeting, the fee paid for attending the Committee meeting is \$800.

The Chairmen of the Audit, Budget and Contracts, Executive Personnel and Pension, Finance, Nominating, and Planning and Environmental Committees each receives an annual retainer fee of \$3,000, provided, however, that if any Trustee is serving at the same time as the Chairman of the Budget and Contracts Committee and the Finance Committee he or she is paid only one such annual retainer. The Acting Chairman of any Board Committee is paid an additional meeting fee of \$200 for any Committee meeting at which he or she presides. Trustees may participate in the Company's Discount Stock Purchase Plan, the Deferred Compensation Plan and the Retirement Plan for non-officer Trustees described below. The members of the Board who are Company officers receive no retainer or meeting fees for their service on the Board.

The Company has a deferred compensation plan applicable only to non-officer members of the Board of Trustees. A Trustee who elects to participate in the plan may defer all or a portion of the compensation paid by the Company with interest. As of April 1, 1994, two Trustees were participants in the plan.

The Company has a retirement plan for those Trustees who are not entitled to receive employee pension benefits from the Company. The plan provides that a Trustee who retires from the Board and who has completed ten full years of service on the Board, shall receive annually, for life, commencing at age 65, or the date of retirement, whichever is later, a benefit in an amount equal to the then annual retainer being paid to the active members of the Board of Trustees, changing as and when such annual retainer changes. The benefits for a Trustee who retires with less than ten years of service shall be prorated. As of April 1, 1994, Three former Trustees were participants in the plan.

The Company's Discount Stock Purchase Plan permits employees, including executive officers of the Company, to contribute up to 20 percent of their salaries into the plan, but not more than \$25,000 per year. Non-officer members of the Board of Trustees are eligible to participate and may contribute up to \$1,000 per month. Also, dividends may be reinvested. The Company contributes one-ninth of the participant's contributions, including reinvested dividends.

The contributions are used to purchase outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Company for the participants. The Company pays brokerage and other expenses relating to the plan.

Mr. Hauspurg has a consulting agreement with the Company pursuant to which he is paid \$900 per day for services rendered to the Company. Mr. Hauspurg received \$900 under this agreement in 1993.

The Board of Trustees held twelve regular meetings in 1993. During 1993 each incumbent Trustee attended more than 75 percent of the combined meetings of the Board of Trustees and the Board Committees on which he or she served.

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

The Audit Committee, composed of five non-officer Trustees (Mr. Ross, Chairman, Dr. Davis, Ms. Futter and Messrs. Davis and Rose), meets with the Company's financial management personnel, its General Auditor and its independent accountants several times a year to discuss internal controls and accounting matters, the Company's financial statements, and the scope and results of the auditing programs of the independent accountants and of the Company's internal auditing department. The Audit Committee also recommends to the Board of Trustees the appointment of the independent accountants for the Company, subject to stockholders' approval at the annual meeting. The Committee held three meetings in 1993.

The Budget and Contracts Committee, composed of seven non-officer Trustees (Mr. Rose, Chairman, Dr. Davis and Messrs. Conway, Hauspurg, Likins, Ross and Schwartz), examines into and makes recommendations to the Board with respect to the annual capital budget of the Company, major purchase authorizations and contractual commitments, and major real estate transactions and litigation settlements. The Committee held twelve meetings during 1993.

The Executive Committee, which is composed of Mr. McGrath, the Chairman of the Board and of the Committee, and five non-officer Trustees (Messrs. Conway, Hauspurg, Rose, Ross and Whalen), may exercise during intervals between the meetings of the Board all the powers vested in the Board, except for certain specified matters. Because the Board of Trustees of the Company meets regularly on a monthly basis, a meeting of the Executive Committee has not been required in many years.

The Executive Personnel and Pension Committee, composed of six non-officer Trustees (Mr. Conway, Chairman and Messrs. Davis, Hauspurg, Ross, Schwartz and Whalen), reports and makes recommendations to the Board relating to officer and senior management appoint-

10

14

ments and compensation. In addition, the Committee makes incentive compensation awards to individuals participating in the Company's Executive Incentive Plan, subject to confirmation by the Board of Trustees. The Committee also oversees and makes recommendations to the Board with respect to compliance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), including the selection of pension trustees and investment managers, and provides advice as to the administration of the Company's employee benefit and stock purchase plans. The Committee held six meetings during 1993.

The Finance Committee, which is composed of six non-officer Trustees (Mr. Schwartz, Chairman, Dr. Davis and Messrs. Conway, Hauspurg, Likins, Rose and Ross), reviews and makes recommendations to the Board with respect to the Company's financial condition and policies, its dividend policies, bank credit arrangements, financings, investments and other financial matters. The Committee held twenty meetings during 1993.

The Nominating Committee, composed of five non-officer Trustees (Mr. Whalen, Chairman, Ms. Futter and Messrs. Conway, Schwartz and Voell), is responsible for recommending candidates to fill vacancies on the Board of Trustees. In addition, the Committee assists with respect to the composition and size of the Board and of all Committees of the Board. The Committee also makes recommendations to the Board as to the compensation of members of the Board and of the Board Committees. The Committee held two meetings in 1993. The Committee has no formal procedures for consideration of recommendations for nominations to the Board and will consider candidates proposed by stockholders. Nominations for candidates, accompanied by biographical material for evaluation, may be sent to the Secretary of the Company. Each nomination should include information as to the qualifications of the candidate and should be accompanied by a written statement (presented to the Secretary of the Company) from the suggested candidate, to the effect that the candidate is both willing and affirmatively desirous of serving.

The Planning and Environmental Committee, composed of seven non-officer Trustees (Dr. Likins, Chairman, Dr. Davis, Ms. Futter and Messrs. Davis, Hauspurg, Rose and Whalen), examines into and makes recommendations to the Board regarding long range energy planning for the Company's electric, gas and steam systems, the Company's major fuels policies, and significant environmental matters affecting the Company's operations. The Committee held two meetings in 1993.

11

15

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

Messrs. Conway, Davis, Hauspurg, Ross, Schwartz and Whalen are members of the Company's Executive Personnel and Pension Committee. Mr. Hauspurg was formerly Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. He retired on August 31, 1990. Mr. Hauspurg receives a pension from the Company and as discussed above from time to time performs consulting work for

the Company for which he was paid \$900 in 1993. Mr. Whalen was Vice President and General Counsel of the Company from August 13, 1968 to July 2, 1970 and Secretary of the Company from April 1, 1969 to January 1, 1970.

In the ordinary course of business the Company has, or during 1993 had, insurance arrangements with several insurance companies, including Metropolitan Life Insurance Company ("Metropolitan"). Such insurance arrangements include group insurance contracts providing life, medical, dental, disability and other coverage for employees of the Company based upon experience rated contracts. Mr. Schwartz is a Director and former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Metropolitan. The Company's pension plans held during 1993 two investment contracts issued by Metropolitan.

MANAGEMENT PROPOSAL

PROPOSAL NO. 1--Approval of Appointment of Price Waterhouse as Independent Accountants for the year 1994.

At the annual meeting, the Board of Trustees will recommend that the stockholders ratify and approve the selection of Price Waterhouse as independent accountants for the Company for the year 1994. Price Waterhouse has acted in the same capacity for many years.

Before the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Trustees the appointment of Price Waterhouse, it considered that firm's qualifications. This included a review of their performance in prior years, as well as their reputation for integrity and for competence in the fields of accounting and auditing. The Audit Committee has expressed its satisfaction with Price Waterhouse in these respects. The Audit Committee reviewed information provided by Price

12

16

Waterhouse concerning litigation involving that firm and the existence of any investigations by the Securities and Exchange Commission into the financial reporting practices of companies audited by them. As to these matters, the Audit Committee has concluded that the ability of Price Waterhouse to perform services in 1994 for the Company is not in any way adversely affected by any litigation or investigations reflected in such information.

Representatives of Price Waterhouse will be present at the annual meeting and will be afforded the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and to respond to appropriate questions.

In connection with the 1993 audit, Price Waterhouse reviewed the Company's annual report and examined the related financial statements, reviewed interim financial statements and certain of the Company's filings with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the New York Public Service Commission and the Securities and Exchange Commission. Price Waterhouse also performed audits of the financial statements of the Company's pension and other benefit plans. Payments to Price Waterhouse for audit services in 1993 totalled \$791,000.

Adoption of Proposal No. 1 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of the Common Stock and \$5 Cumulative Preferred Stock (voting together as a single class) voted on the proposal at the meeting.

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR PROPOSAL NO. 1.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

PROPOSAL NO. 2--John J. Gilbert and the Estate of Lewis D. Gilbert, 29 East 64th Street, New York, New York 10021-7043, owning 125 and 100 shares, respectively, of Common Stock and Margaret R. Gilbert (same address) holding 124 shares, have submitted the following proposal:

"RESOLVED: That the stockholders of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., assembled in annual meeting in person and by proxy, hereby request the Board of Directors to take the steps necessary to provide for cumulative voting in the election of directors, which means each stockholder shall be entitled to as many votes as shall equal the number of shares he or she owns multiplied by the number of directors to be elected, and he or she may cast all of such votes for a single candidate, or any two or more of them as he or she may see fit."

17

The statement made in support of this proposal is as follows:

"In 1987 support along the lines we suggest were shown when 8.7%, 11,752 owners of 6,477,873 shares, were cast in favor of this proposal.

"A law enacted in California provides that all state pension holdings, as well as state college funds, invested in shares must be voted in favor of cumulative voting proposals, showing increasing recognition of the importance of this democratic means of electing directors.

"Also, the National Bank Act has provided for cumulative voting. Unfortunately, in so many cases companies get around it by forming holding companies without cumulative voting. Thus, with so many banking failures the result is that tax payers have to make up the losses. Banking authorities have the right to question the capability of directors to be on banking boards. Unfortunately, in so many cases authorities come in after and say the director or directors were not qualified. So there is no reason why this could not be done for corporations under the SEC and banking authorities.

"Alaska took away cumulative voting, over our objections, when it became a state. Perhaps, if the citizens had insisted on proper representation the disastrous Valdez oil spill might have been prevented if environmental directors were elected through cumulative voting.

"Because of the normal need to find new directors and the need for directors on the compensation committee, we think cumulative voting is the answer. In addition, some recommendations have been made to carry out the Valdez 10 points. In our opinion, the 11th should be to have cumulative voting and to end stagger systems of electing directors.

"It is important to get directors on the board to support not only cumulative voting but also pre-emptive rights for stockholders. Our resolution on pre-emptive rights in 1993 received 14.8% showing stockholders are in favor.

"Many successful corporations have cumulative voting. For example, Pennzoil having cumulative voting defeated Texaco in that famous case. Another example, in spite of still having a stagger system of electing directors, Ingersoll-Rand, which has cumulative voting, won two awards. In Fortune magazine it was ranked second as 'America's Most Admired Corporation' and the Wall Street Transcript noted 'on almost any criteria used to evaluate management, Ingersoll-Rand excels.' We believe Consolidated Edison should follow their example.

18

"If you agree, please mark your proxy for this resolution; otherwise it is automatically cast against it, unless you have marked to abstain."

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST PROPOSAL NO. 2 FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

The same proposal was submitted by the Messrs. Gilbert to the Company's 1987, 1986, 1985 and 1984 annual meeting of stockholders, to each of six annual meetings held from 1974 through 1979 and to several prior meetings and was overwhelmingly defeated each time. The proposal, in the opinion of the Board of Trustees, is contrary to the best interest of the Company and its stockholders. The Trustees, in administering the affairs of the Company, should function for the benefit of all stockholders. The present system of voting for the election of Trustees, under which the holders of a plurality of the votes cast at a meeting of stockholders elect a Board of Trustees to represent all the stockholders, has served well. If this proposal were adopted, a Trustee who was elected only because of cumulative voting might represent and act for the benefit of a special interest rather than for the benefit of all stockholders.

In the opinion of counsel this resolution, if adopted, would not constitute an amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of the Company permitting cumulative voting, but would constitute a formal request by the stockholders that the Board of Trustees submit to a later meeting of the stockholders a proposal to amend the Company's Certificate of Incorporation so as to permit

cumulative voting by the Company's stockholders in voting for the election of members of the Board of Trustees.

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST PROPOSAL NO. 2.

PROPOSAL NO. 3--Mrs. Evelyn Y. Davis, Watergate Office Building, 2600 Virginia Avenue, N.W., Suite 215, Washington, D.C. 20037, who owns 200 shares of Common Stock, has submitted the following proposal:

"RESOLVED: That the shareholders recommend that the Board take the necessary step that Con Edison specifically identify by name and corporate title in all future proxy statements those executive officers, not otherwise so identified, who are contractually entitled to receive in excess of \$100,000 annually as a base salary, together with whatever other additional compensation bonuses and other cash payments were due them."

15

19

The statement made in support of this proposal is as follows:

"In support of such proposed Resolution it is clear the shareholders have a right to comprehensively evaluate the management in the manner in which the Corporation is being operated and its resources utilized. At present only a few of the most senior executive officers are so identified, and not the many other senior executive officers who should contribute to the ultimate success of the Corporation. Through such additional identification the shareholders will then be provided an opportunity to better evaluate the soundness and efficacy of the overall management.

"Last year the owners of 19,062,899 shares, representing approximately 12% of shares voting, voted FOR this proposal.

"If you AGREE, please mark your proxy FOR this resolution."

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE AGAINST PROPOSAL NO. 3 FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

The Company currently provides on page 17 of the Proxy Statement compensation information for the five highest paid executive officers. From the information already provided in the compensation table and the text pertaining thereto, stockholders can readily determine the three Con Edison executive officers who are contractually entitled to receive compensation that exceeds \$100,000. Therefore, by its terms the proposal would not result in any additional disclosure in the Proxy Statement.

Disclosure of executive compensation is governed by the Securities and Exchange Commission's proxy solicitation rules which in 1992 were substantially revised after extensive comment from interested members of the public. The proposal would impose on the Company more stringent disclosure requirements than those imposed on other companies by the Commission's rules. The Board believes that any changes in the disclosure requirements should emanate from the Commission and should be uniformly applicable to all companies subject to the proxy rules.

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST PROPOSAL NO. 3.

Adoption of the preceding stockholder resolutions (Proposals 2 and 3) would require the affirmative vote of a majority of shares of the Common Stock and \$5 Cumulative Preferred Stock (voting together as a single class) voted thereon at the meeting.

16

20

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table sets forth the compensation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and its four most highly compensated executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer who were serving as executive officers of the Company at the end of 1993.

NAME AND PRINCIPAL POSITION	YEAR	ANNUAL COMPENSATION			LONG TERM COMPENSATION	
		SALARY	BONUS (1)	OTHER ANNUAL COMPENSATION	LONG TERM INCENTIVE PLAN PAYOUTS (1)	ALL OTHER COMPEN- SATION (2)
Eugene R. McGrath.....	1993	\$ 551,667	\$ 253,500	\$ 14,615	\$ 58,653	\$24,348
Chairman of the Board,	1992	\$ 505,000	\$ 231,833	\$ 9,457	\$ 39,458	\$19,520
President and Chief Executive Officer	1991	\$ 463,333	\$ 201,717		\$ 39,198	
Raymond J. McCann.....	1993	\$ 343,333	\$ 126,500	\$ 2,588	\$ 54,987	\$ 7,075
Executive Vice	1992	\$ 323,500	\$ 119,167	\$ 2,804	\$ 38,332	\$ 6,866
President and Chief Financial Officer	1991	\$ 305,583	\$ 106,939		\$ 33,599	
Charles F. Soutar.....	1993	\$ 291,500	\$ 83,333	\$ 2,484	\$ 43,989	\$ 7,075
Executive Vice	1992	\$ 273,500	\$ 80,000	\$ 2,433	\$ 25,366	\$ 6,866
President-- Customer Service	1991	\$ 255,583	\$ 73,333		\$ 22,399	
J. Michael Evans.....	1993	\$ 274,000	\$ 73,333	\$ 2,277	--	\$ 7,075
Executive Vice	1992	\$ 256,000	\$ 66,667	\$ 2,591	--	\$ 6,293
President--Central Operations since September 1, 1991	1991	\$ 83,333	\$ 26,667		--	
T. Bowring Woodbury.....	1993	\$ 248,583	\$ 38,000	\$ 999	--	\$ 7,075
Senior Vice President and General Counsel since June 1, 1989	1992	\$ 235,583	\$ 37,333	\$ 1,514	--	\$ 6,855
	1991	\$ 222,583	\$ 33,333		--	

(1) See description of the Long-Term Incentive Plan, below.

(2) The amounts shown in this column consist of amounts contributed by the Company under its Discount Stock Purchase Plan (DISCOP) and Thrift Savings Plan for Management

Employees (Thrift Plan) and amounts paid for life insurance for Mr. McGrath, as follows: For 1993, Mr. McGrath, life insurance--\$16,383, DISCOP--\$890, Thrift Plan \$7,075; Mr. McCann, Thrift Plan--\$7,075, Mr. Soutar--Thrift Plan--\$7,075; Mr. Evans--Thrift Plan--\$7,075; Mr. Woodbury--Thrift Plan--\$7,075; For 1992, Mr. McGrath, life insurance--\$11,838, DISCOP--\$816, Thrift Plan \$6,866; Mr. McCann, Thrift Plan--\$6,866, Mr. Soutar--Thrift Plan-- \$6,866; Mr. Evans--Thrift Plan--\$6,293; Mr. Woodbury--Thrift Plan--\$6,855.

EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS

Mr. McGrath has an agreement with the Company which provides for his employment for an initial term from September 1, 1990 until August 31, 1995. Mr. McGrath's employment is automatically extended on a year-to-year basis thereafter subject to the right of either party to terminate the agreement, upon at least twelve months' notice prior to the expiration of the initial five year term or any one year extension. The agreement provides for Mr. McGrath to receive an annual salary of \$560,000 (effective September 1, 1993), plus annual deferred compensation of \$25,000 with interest. Mr. McGrath's salary is reviewed annually by the Executive Personnel and Pension Committee and, with the concurrence of the Board, may be increased as a result of that review. The agreement also provides for the Company to purchase supplemental term life insurance for Mr. McGrath, the premiums for which are included in the Summary Compensation Table.

Mr. McCann has an agreement with the Company which provides, effective

February 1, 1994, for an annual salary of \$318,000, plus annual deferred compensation of \$50,000 with interest. Mr. McCann's salary is subject to an annual review by the Executive Personnel and Pension Committee. His employment may be terminated by either Mr. McCann or the Company, at any time, on written notice to the other.

Mr. Evans has an agreement with the Company which provides for his employment until August 1994 at an annual salary of \$286,000, effective September 1, 1993. Mr. Evans' salary is subject to an annual review by the Executive Personnel and Pension Committee. Following August 31, 1994, Mr. Evans' employment may be terminated by either Mr. Evans or the Company, at any time, on written notice to the other.

18

22

REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Company's executive compensation policies are administered by the Executive Personnel and Pension Committee (the Committee) of the Board of Trustees. The Committee is composed of six Trustees. All action by the Committee pertaining to executive compensation is submitted to the full Board of Trustees for approval. The Committee submits the following report related to compensation matters for 1993.

The Committee's compensation policy--The Committee believes that total executive compensation should be such as to attract to the Company, motivate and reasonably reward individuals of the highest professional and personal qualifications and, at the same time, secure substantial and proportionate value for the Company. Compensation of the Company's executive officers consists primarily of base salary, which is reviewed by the Committee annually, and a potential award under the Company's Executive Incentive Plan, which is described under the caption "Long Term Incentive Plan" on page 23. In making its recommendations to the Board of Trustees, with respect to salaries of officers other than Mr. McGrath, and awards under the Executive Incentive Plan for officers other than Mr. McGrath and Mr. McCann, the Committee considers recommendations made to it by Mr. McGrath. With respect to Mr. McGrath's salary and any award under the Executive Incentive Plan to him or Mr. McCann, the Committee initiates the recommendation that it makes to the Board of Trustees.

Individual performance is the primary factor considered in determining base salary, within a range appropriate to that individual's position, although in some cases corporate performance may also be relevant to base salary determinations. Awards under the Executive Incentive Plan are based on both individual and corporate performance. Base salary ranges are identified for the Company's officers with reference to salaries paid by other utilities and industry in general, as reflected in surveys by such organizations as the Edison Electric Institute and in general industry studies conducted by compensation consulting firms. These surveys are not identical to the surveys referred to in the next paragraph. In addition, an attempt is made to assure internal equity by maintaining appropriate salary relationships. Increases for individuals are based on the current salary's relationship to the range for the position (but not to any specific level within the range) and the individual's performance with respect to the requirements of the individual's position.

In considering the level of Mr. McGrath's compensation, the Committee reviewed surveys of the compensation paid to the chief executive officers of other utilities with revenues exceeding \$2 billion and non-utility companies with revenues of from \$3 billion to \$9 billion. The Committee does not target Mr. McGrath's compensation to any specific level within the ranges of

19

23

compensation paid by these comparison companies but uses the surveys as references. The utilities included in the surveys referred to in this paragraph and the previous paragraph are some but not all of the utilities included in the Standard & Poor's Utility Index shown on the performance graph on page 26. None of the non-utility companies in the surveys are in the utility index.

The Committee believes that an evaluation of corporate performance must take account of many factors affecting the Company's operations, over some of which management has total or considerable control and over others of which it

has little or no control. In this context it looks not only to current reported financial operating results and financial condition (as reflected in such factors as earnings per share, return on common equity, and debt ratings), but also to a wide range of other information relating to the quality of service provided to customers, the efficiency of operations, the development and management of personnel and the effectiveness of management's efforts to strengthen the Company for the future. In recommending the base salaries or awards under the Executive Incentive Plan, the Committee does not have a predetermined list of criteria nor does it have a formula for weighting or applying the criteria the Committee members consider. The process is neither arithmetic nor formulaic, but judgmental.

1993 Base Salary Determinations--In 1993 individual performance and salary ranges relevant to Company officers were the factors considered by the Committee in determining the base salaries recommended for such individuals.

1993 Executive Incentive Plan Awards--In recommending the amount awarded under the Executive Incentive Plan for plan year 1993, the Committee considered the following financial factors: earnings per share in 1993 of \$2.66 were record per share earnings and were 20 cents higher than 1992; controllable expenses were less than budget and productivity gains in 1993 above the one percent provided for in the Company's rate settlement agreements amounted to \$29 million, which was retained by stockholders; the dividend increased; at December 31, 1993 the Common Stock price was slightly lower than at December 31, 1992; over the five-year period ended December 31, 1993, and assuming the reinvestment of all dividends in equivalent shares of stock, the value of an investment of \$100 in the Company's Common Stock increased to \$193.00 compared to \$203.50 for the Standard & Poor's Utility Index and \$196.90 for the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index; the Company remains one of the financially strongest utilities in the country, at year's end the Company's senior debt continued to be rated double A by Moody's and Standard & Poor's; the Company refunded in advance of scheduled maturity \$1.07 billion of bonds and debentures, resulting in an estimated aggregate present value savings of approximately \$100 million; and, partially, as a result of the refundings, the Company's interest coverage

20

24

increased to 4.19 times, one of the highest in the industry. The Committee also considered the following operating factors: the power generation and supply system performed well in 1993; the Company received approximately \$26.9 million in fuel incentives under the three-year electric rate settlement approved by the Public Service Commission in April 1992; Indian Point Unit No. 2 had the best year (with a refueling outage) in its history and for the second consecutive period received the highest rating given by the Institute of Nuclear Power Operations; for the fourth year in a row the overall interruption rate on the electric system was under 100 customers per thousand; the Company earned the maximum \$6.5 million for 1993 under the customer service incentive mechanism in the electric rate settlement; the Enlightened Energy program exceeded the targets for 1993 and as a result the Company earned \$36 million in incentives under the electric rate settlement; and the Company made continued progress in its equal employment opportunity and affirmative action efforts.

Based on the Committee's review of the Company's performance in 1993, as reflected in the factors mentioned above, for 1993 the Committee recommended, and the Board approved, that the total amount awarded under the Executive Incentive Plan to all participants as a group be the maximum amount provided by the Plan.

CEO Compensation--In making its recommendations to the Board of Trustees with respect to both the base salary and the Executive Incentive Plan award for 1993 of Mr. Eugene R. McGrath, the Committee considered among other things the Company's financial operating results; the strength of its financial condition; the efficiency and high level of reliability of the Company's generating system during the year; the excellent performance of the Indian Point No. 2 Unit; the Company's success in earning substantially the maximum incentives for customer service, fuel economy and the Enlightened Energy program under the electric rate settlement; the effectiveness with which Mr. McGrath has led the Company's efforts to plan for the future; and levels of compensation of chief executive officers of other companies included in the compensation surveys referred to in the last paragraph on page 19.

21

I.R.S. Limitations on Deductibility of Executive Compensation--Recent federal legislation restricts the deductibility, for federal income tax purposes, of certain executive compensation above a specified threshold. No Company executives currently receive annual compensation reaching the threshold. Nevertheless, the tax law provisions are complex, and under certain circumstances could restrict the Company's deduction in a given year. The Committee intends to take into account these tax law provisions in structuring the Company's executive compensation in future years.

The Executive Personnel and Pension Committee

E. Virgil Conway (Chairman)
Gordon J. Davis
Arthur Hauspurg
Donald K. Ross
Robert G. Schwartz
Myles V. Whalen, Jr.

22

LONG TERM INCENTIVE PLAN

The Company's Executive Incentive Plan is designed to provide executives with incentives to achieve goals that are important to shareholders and customers. Each year a maximum incentive fund is established by the Executive Personnel and Pension Committee, subject to the approval of the Board of Trustees based on the salaries of the eligible participants at the end of the prior year. This maximum fund may not exceed one half of one percent of the Company's net income for the year. It may be reduced or eliminated entirely as recommended by the Committee, based upon the Committee's assessment of the Company's performance during the year, and it is required to be eliminated in any year in which the Company reduces or omits a dividend on its Common Stock. Awards may be made by the Committee, subject to the approval of the Board, to eligible executives based upon their performance during the year. Payment of one-third of any award is deferred for five years (or until retirement, if earlier) and is subject to forfeiture if the participant is terminated for cause or resigns prior to reaching age 65 during the deferral period. A recipient may elect to further defer the one-third, and to defer all or any part of the remaining two-thirds of an award, for payment at or after retirement, or at some earlier time. Portions of awards that are required to be deferred are treated during the mandatory deferral period as if the portions were invested in Common Stock of the Company and are credited with dividend equivalents and credited or debited for increases or decreases in the market value of an equivalent number of shares ("equivalent stock units"). Portions of awards voluntarily deferred are similarly treated or are allocated to an interest account and credited with interest, depending on an election made by the recipient prior to the award. Those portions (two-thirds) of the plan year 1993 awards that are not required to be deferred are shown in the Summary Compensation Table, above, under the "bonus" column. The following table shows for those portions (one third) of the plan year 1993 awards that were mandatorily deferred, the number of equivalent stock units, and the mandatory deferral period. In 1993, the five-year deferral period expired with respect to the mandatorily deferred awards made for 1987. The accrued amount of these awards at January 1, 1993 is shown

23

in the Summary Compensation Table under "Long Term Incentive Plan Payouts." Under Mr. McGrath's employment contract, mandatorily deferred awards are not subject to forfeiture.

EXECUTIVE INCENTIVE PLAN--AWARDS FOR 1993

NO. OF EQUIVALENT STOCK UNITS	PERIOD UNTIL MATURATION
----------------------------------	----------------------------

Eugene R. McGrath.....	3,976	5 years
Raymond J. McCann.....	1,984	5 years
Charles F. Soutar.....	1,307	5 years
J. Michael Evans.....	1,150	5 years
T. Bowring Woodbury.....	597	5 years

PENSION PLANS

The following table shows, for the salary levels and years of service indicated, the annual pension benefit payable commencing at age 65 under the Company's Retirement Plan for Management Employees (the "Management Plan"), a funded, tax-qualified, defined benefit pension plan, and the Company's Supplemental Retirement Income Plan, an unfunded, non-qualified plan (together referred to as the "Plans"), as supplemented in the case of Messrs. McGrath, McCann and Evans by separate agreements.

PENSION PLAN TABLE

FINAL AVERAGE SALARY	YEARS OF SERVICE						
	15	20	25	30	35	40	45
\$ 50,000	\$ 11,250	\$ 15,000	\$ 19,000	\$ 24,000	\$ 25,250	\$ 26,500	\$ 27,750
100,000	24,569	32,758	41,448	52,137	54,637	57,137	59,637
200,000	52,319	69,758	88,198	110,637	115,637	120,637	125,637
300,000	80,069	106,758	134,948	169,137	176,637	184,137	191,637
400,000	107,819	143,758	181,698	227,637	237,637	247,637	257,637
500,000	135,569	180,758	228,448	286,137	298,637	311,137	323,637
600,000	163,319	217,758	275,198	344,637	359,637	374,637	389,637
700,000	191,069	254,758	321,948	403,137	420,637	438,137	455,637
800,000	218,819	291,758	368,698	461,637	481,637	501,637	521,637

The Plans provide pension benefits based on (i) the participant's highest average salary for 60 consecutive months within the 120 consecutive months prior to retirement ("final average salary"), (ii) the portion of final average salary in excess of the Social Security taxable wage base in the year of retirement, and (iii) the participant's length of service. Participants in the Plans whose age and years of service equal 75 are entitled to an annual pension benefit for life, payable in equal monthly installments. Participants may earn increased pension benefits by working additional years. Benefits payable to a participant who retires between ages 55 and 59 with less than 30 years of service are subject to a reduction of 1 1/2 percent for each full year of retirement before age 60. Early retirement reduction factors are not applied to pensions of employees electing retirement at age 55 or older with at least 30 years of service. However, benefits payable on the portion of final average salary in excess of the Social Security taxable wage base to a participant who retires before age 65 are subject to IRS reduction factors. The years of service covered by the Plans are for Mr. McGrath, 31 years; Mr. McCann, 38 years; Mr. Soutar, 36 years; Mr. Evans, 20 years and Mr. Woodbury, 5 years. Current salary rates covered by the Plans for Messrs. McGrath, McCann, Soutar, Evans and Woodbury are \$585,000, \$368,000 \$313,000, \$286,000 and \$254,000, respectively. The Plans provide an annual adjustment equal to the lesser of 3% or 3/4 of the annual increase in the Consumer Price Index to offset partially the effects of inflation.

Mr. McGrath's agreement provides that under certain circumstances, if he elects upon termination of his employment to defer the commencement of his pension as permitted by the Plans, the Company will accrue and later pay with interest the amounts which Mr. McGrath would have been entitled during the deferral period to receive under the Plans, as supplemented by his agreement.

The following performance graph compares the Company's cumulative total stockholder return on its common stock for a five year period (December 31, 1988 to December 31, 1993) with the cumulative total return of the Standard & Poor's Utility index and the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock index.

COMPARISON OF FIVE YEAR TOTAL CUMULATIVE RETURN*

CON EDISON, S&P UTILITY INDEX AND S&P 500 STOCK INDEX
DECEMBER 31, 1988 TO DECEMBER 31, 1993

(chart)

Measurement Period (Fiscal Year Covered)	Con Edison	S&P Utility	S&P 500
1988	100	100	100
1989	134.0	147.6	131.7
1990	117.4	144.1	127.6
1991	152.7	164.5	166.4
1992	185.5	177.9	179.1
1993	193.0	203.5	196.9

* Based on \$100 invested at December 31, 1988; reinvestment of all dividends in equivalent shares of stock; and market price changes on all such shares.

26

30

CERTAIN INFORMATION AS TO INSURANCE

No stockholder action is required with respect to the following information which is included to fulfill the requirements of Section 726 of the Business Corporation Law of the State of New York. Effective December 2, 1993, the Company purchased insurance providing for reimbursement, with certain exclusions and deductions, to (a) the Company for payments it makes to indemnify Trustees, directors, officers and assistant officers of the Company and its subsidiaries and (b) Trustees, directors, officers and assistant officers for losses, costs and expenses incurred by them in actions brought against them in connection with their acts in those capacities for which they are not indemnified by the Company. The insurers are: Aetna Casualty and Surety Company, A.C.E. Insurance Company, Ltd., Federal Insurance Company, X.L. Insurance Company, Ltd. and Zurich Insurance Company. The cost of this insurance was \$1,372,975 for a one year term. The Company also purchased from Federal Insurance Company, Aetna Casualty and Surety Company and A.C.E. Insurance Company, Ltd. additional insurance coverage, for one year effective January 1, 1994, insuring the Trustees, officers and employees of the Company and certain other parties against certain liabilities which could arise in connection with the administration of the Company's employee benefit plans. The cost of such coverage was \$270,575.

27

31

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 1995 ANNUAL MEETING

In order to be included in the Company's proxy statement and form of proxy relating to the 1995 Annual Meeting, stockholder proposals must be received by the Company at its principal office at 4 Irving Place, New York, New York 10003 by December 5, 1994.

OTHER MATTERS TO COME BEFORE THE MEETING

Management intends to bring before the meeting only the election of Trustees and Proposal No. 1 above and knows of no matters to come before the meeting other than the matters set forth herein. If other matters or motions come before the meeting (including two proposals offered by stockholders that have been omitted from this proxy statement pursuant to applicable regulations), it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying form of proxy to vote such proxy in accordance with their judgment on such matters or motions,

including any matters dealing with the conduct of the meeting.

PLEASE SIGN AND DATE THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD AND MAIL IT PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED POSTAGE PAID ENVELOPE. Stockholders planning to attend the meeting but choosing not to return the proxy card should send a note requesting an admission ticket in the envelope provided.

By Order of the Board of Trustees,

ARCHIE M. BANKSTON
Secretary

New York, N.Y.
April 4, 1994

28

32

Con Edison
(LOGO)

Con Edison
(LOGO)

LETTER TO
STOCKHOLDERS

NOTICE OF 1994
ANNUAL MEETING
AND
PROXY STATEMENT

MAY 16, 1994
THE PARAMOUNT
MADISON SQUARE
GARDEN CENTER

33

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
\$5 CUMULATIVE PREFERRED STOCK

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF
OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Please date and sign on reverse side. To vote in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Trustees no boxes need be checked.

The undersigned hereby appoints E. Virgil Conway, Donald K. Ross, Raymond J. McCann and T. Bowing Woodbury, II, and each or any of them with power of substitution, proxies to vote all stock of the undersigned (including any shares held through the Company's Automatic Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Payment Plan) at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders on Monday, May 16, 1994 at 1:30 P.M. at The Paramount, Madison Square Garden Center, Seventh Avenue between 31st and 33rd Streets, New York, N.Y. or at any adjournments thereof, as specified on the reverse side in the election of Trustee and on the proposals more fully set forth in the proxy statement, and in their discretion on any matters that may come before the meeting.

Your vote for the election of Trustees may be indicated on the reverse side. Nominees are E.V. Conway, G.J. Davis, R.M. Davis, E.V. Futter, A. Hauspurg, P.W. Likins, R.J. McCann, E.R. McGrath, F.P. Rose, D.K. Ross, R.G. Schwartz, R.A. Voell and M.V. Whalen, Jr.

THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED ON THE REVERSE SIDE, BUT IF NO CHOICE IS MADE, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED "FOR" THE ELECTION OF THE NOMINEES FOR TRUSTEES LISTED ABOVE; "FOR" PROPOSAL 1; AND "AGAINST" PROPOSALS 2 AND 3.

CON EDISON (logo)

CON EDISON (logo)
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place, New York, N.Y. 10003

April 4, 1994

ADDRESS LINE 1
ADDRESS LINE 2

ADDRESS LINE 3
ADDRESS LINE 4
ADDRESS LINE 5
ADDRESS LINE 6

BELOW IS YOUR PROXY CARD. PLEASE READ BOTH SIDES, SIGN, VOTE AND RETURN IT IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.

The Board of Directors Recommends a Vote FOR the Following:

ELECTION OF TRUSTEES	
FOR	WITHHELD
all nominees listed on the reverse side (except as marked to the contrary below)	Authority to vote for all registrants on the reverse side
/ /	/ /

(INSTRUCTION: To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), write that nominee's name in the space provided below.)

(1) Ratification of appointment of independent accountants.

FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
/ /	/ /	/ /

The Board of Trustees Recommends a Vote AGAINST the Following Shareholder Proposals (Nos. 2 and 3):

(2) Cumulative voting:	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
	/ /	/ /	/ /
(3) Additional compensation information.	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
	/ /	/ /	/ /

If you plan to attend the meeting and want an admission ticket, check here. / /

PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THIS PROXY PROMPTLY.

PLEASE Mark Your Ballot /X/

-----, 1994
SIGNATURE(S) OF STOCKHOLDER(S) DATED

SIGNATURE(S) SHOULD CORRESPOND WITH THE NAME(S) AS PRINTED. NO WITNESS IS REQUIRED.

34
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
COMMON STOCK

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Please date and sign on reverse side. To vote in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Trustees no boxes need be checked.

The undersigned hereby appoints E. Virgil Conway, Donald K. Ross, Raymond J. McCann and T. Bowling Woodbury, II, and each or any of them with power of substitution, proxies to vote all stock of the undersigned (including any shares held through the Company's Automatic Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Payment Plan) at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders on Monday, May 16, 1994 at 1:30 P.M. at The Paramount, Madison Square Garden Center, Seventh Avenue between 31st and 33rd Streets, New York, N.Y. or at any adjournments thereof, as specified on the reverse side in the election of Trustee and on the proposals more fully set forth in the proxy statement, and in their discretion on any matters that may come before the meeting.

Your vote for the election of Trustees may be indicated on the reverse side. Nominees are E.V. Conway, G.J. Davis, R.M. Davis, E.V. Futter, A. Hauspurg, P.W. Likins, R.J. McCann, E.R. McGrath, F.P. Rose, D.K. Ross, R.G. Schwartz, R.A. Voell and M.V. Whalen, Jr.

THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED ON THE REVERSE SIDE, BUT IF NO CHOICE IS MADE, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED "FOR" THE ELECTION OF THE NOMINEES FOR TRUSTEES

LISTED ABOVE; "FOR" PROPOSAL 1; AND "AGAINST" PROPOSALS 2 AND 3.

CON EDISON (logo)

CON EDISON (logo)
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place, New York, N.Y. 10003

April 4, 1994

ADDRESS LINE 1
ADDRESS LINE 2
ADDRESS LINE 3
ADDRESS LINE 4
ADDRESS LINE 5
ADDRESS LINE 6

BELOW IS YOUR PROXY CARD. PLEASE READ BOTH SIDES, SIGN, VOTE AND RETURN IT IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.

The Board of Directors Recommends a Vote FOR the Following:

ELECTION OF TRUSTEES		
FOR		WITHHELD
all nominees listed on the		Authority to vote for all
reverse side (except as marked		registrants on the reverse side
to the contrary below)		
/ /		/ /

(INSTRUCTION: To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), write that nominee's name in the space provided below.)

(1) Ratification of appointment of independent accountants.

FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
/ /	/ /	/ /

The Board of Trustees Recommends a Vote AGAINST the Following Shareholder Proposals (Nos. 2 and 3):

	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
(2) Cumulative voting:	/ /	/ /	/ /
(3) Additional compensation information.	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
	/ /	/ /	/ /

If you plan to attend the meeting and want an admission ticket, check here. / /

PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THIS PROXY PROMPTLY.

PLEASE Mark Your Ballet /X/

-----, 1994
SIGNATURE(S) OF STOCKHOLDER(S) DATED

SIGNATURE(S) SHOULD CORRESPOND WITH THE NAME(S) AS PRINTED. NO WITNESS IS REQUIRED.